


# Court of Record

TO: \_MULTIPLE\_  
FAX: readerseditor  
DATE: 21 September 2016  
Temporal History



Court of Record  
Postfach 73  
Unterageri  
CH6314 Switzerland  
secretary@courtrecord.org  
UK FAX : +44 1234 48 0111

**Mr. A.S. Panneerselvan**  
Readers' Editor, The Hindu, Madras, India.  
www.thehindu.com/opinion/Readers-Editor/

**cc: Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth [II]**  
cc: H.R.H. Prince Philip Lord High Admiral  
cc: H.R.H. Princess Anne, The Princess Royal  
cc: Sophie, Countess of Wessex, Lord High Steward  
cc: H.R.H. Prince Edward, Duke of Palmyra, Joint Intelligence Chief  
cc: Court of St. James

**cc: His Excellency George W. Bush**  
Duke of America in Her Majesty's Commonwealth

Open Letter  
Amicus curiae  
21 September 2016

**Dear Sir,**

*In the name of YHVH, Truth, Our Lord Jesus Christ, greeting!*

We have chosen thee to receive copies of our Amicus curiae(s) regarding the the Rule of God's Law in our time.

We assist Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth [II] and the Bishop of Rome with the dissolution of the United States chartered as a corporation circa 1871 by the then Bishop of Rome via the then Queen Victoria of England.

It is this company that seamlessly took over from the East India Company during the time of King Edward VII. The related dissolution is that of the Federal Reserve and all world banks thereby.

By contemplation of Brahman, YHVH to the Hebrews, Jesus Christ to Christians, Allah to the Muslims, we write these Amicus curiae(s).

Often, the writing is like unto a contemplative journal, without "tombstoning", that is to say, typed in urgency without editorial or typographical oversight.

We began an email to you a few days ago, which is now continued in this fax / PDF form, suitable to send to Her Majesty The Queen.

03 September 2016

Dear Mr. Panneerselvan,

We have read your thoughtful article:

"Of written tools and intuitive codes"

<http://www.thehindu.com/opinion/Readers-Editor/column-by-readers-editor-a-s-panneerselvan-on-of-written-tools-and-intuitive-codes/article8831745.ece>

Avvaiyar's notion of anger that evaporates in a moment, is present in our writings, yet the occasion arises when one must admit that there are those who are driven by the "Bharathiyar mode".

We have also read your other recent article:

"Invisible mending undermines trust"

<http://www.thehindu.com/opinion/Readers-Editor/readers-editor-invisible-mending-undermines-trust/article8988829.ece>

There is a lot of "invisible mending" going on and we wish to draw your attention to it so that The Hindu editors can begin to read and piece together the real history of post-Independence India and the world in such a way as to "correct" the mistaken notions that you wrongly assume provide a stable base for a view of the 'reality' you find yourselves in resulting in much "Bharathiyar mode" anger.

In short, a 'coup' occurred when the then 'Constituent Assembly' met and created a

'constitution' for India. It was a coup of "invisible mending" that has carried on to this day.

The recent outburst of M.K. Stalin about how the "Rule of Law is in a coma" will become clearer as a result, and the recent ruling by the Madras High Court about not publishing the names of lawyers and judges.

You will have to 'understand' that there are at least three kinds of English in use [ <http://1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/language.htm> ], plus a fourth dialect of 'Egyptian English' featuring 'four letter' words as pictorial hand signs, as a result of the destruction of Latin, see *Latino sine flexione* and the dumbing down of English.

Your team will have to do some investigative reporting - Sherlock Holmes style, from these Amicus curiae(s) that we send to you, in reverse chronological order and relevant to India:

21 September 2016

- [www.courtrecord.uk/Modi-3](http://www.courtrecord.uk/Modi-3)
- [www.courtrecord.uk/Modi-2](http://www.courtrecord.uk/Modi-2)
- [www.courtrecord.uk/Modi-1](http://www.courtrecord.uk/Modi-1)

There have been reports in your newspaper that confirm, in Egyptian English, how for example, Mrs. Sonia Gandhi confirms the stolen Indian mini-nukes. See your news story, "PM invites Sonia Gandhi, Manmohan Singh for tea". You have a network of reporters, you know the politicians, you can ask them how was their tea that day. [ [www.thehindu.com/news/national/pm-invites-sonia-gandhi-manmohan-singh-for-tea/article7922812.ece](http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/pm-invites-sonia-gandhi-manmohan-singh-for-tea/article7922812.ece) ].

It can also be seen how the Madras High Court confirms its importance, recently the subject of "invisible mending" by name change.

Ms. Jayalalitha has received a copy by email of [www.courtrecord.uk/mental-courts](http://www.courtrecord.uk/mental-courts), which has played out in your newspaper's reports on the Rule of Law and the Cauvery river dispute.

"Justice Chelameswar opts out of collegium" ... "The records are absolutely beyond the reach of any person including the judges of this Court who are not lucky enough to become the Chief Justice of India. Such a state of affairs does not either enhance the credibility of the institution or does good for the people of this country" Justice Chelameswar had written.

<http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/justice-chelameswar-opts-out-of-collegium/article9066616.ece>

It is our wish that you give a copy of this Amicus curiae to yourselves to Justice Chelameswar and ask him to contact former Chief Justice of India P. Sadasivam privately. We have written to him when he was the Chief Justice of India in the early days of the Enrica Lexie trial. We suggest you retain him to study our published Amicus curiae(s). We suggest he starts with the Open Letter to Prof. Richard Dawkins, [www.courtrecord.uk/Dawkins-PDF](http://www.courtrecord.uk/Dawkins-PDF). There was no need for a response, for the effect of the letter was imprinted on the video recording of the debate. Epistemology exemplified by Hume's Guillotine is not popular with "scientists" when *evidence* is concerned. How about such a discussion with "bankers"? "Lawyers" have forgotten how language and law evolved from deep insight into epistemology described in picture language: epi stem, of a tree of knowledge.

The term "freedom fighter" was coined by the British to express, in simple English, a meaning, which it turns out, is "he who is fighting *freedom*". For example, "Netaji was a freedom fighter".

The evils of Roman Duumviri are present in the Supreme Court of India, with the resulting lack of transparency. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Duumviri> covers this topic. Whenever there is a "bench" of Judges, it gets worse. You will find further explanation in [www.courtrecord.uk/timber](http://www.courtrecord.uk/timber). The Supreme Court of India is operating a *Nisi Prius* court of no-record, in-spite of extensive stacks of 'very important papers'.

Subject: NISI PRIUS COURT

"George H. Cullins" wrote:

> Black's Law Dictionary defines Nisi Prius Courts as:

>

> "The nisi prius courts are such as are held for the trial of issues of fact

> before a jury and one presiding judge. In America the phrase was formerly

> used to denote the forum (whatever may be its statutory name) in which

the  
> cause was tried to a jury, as distinguished from the appellate court."  
>  
> To me, that says the nisi prius court is a TRIAL COURT, which of course  
is  
> where the FACTS of a case are discovered.  
>  
> Thornton says a nisi prius court is a "court of no record." But a  
record  
> is kept in a trial court

=====  
Bill Thornton replies:

On the surface of it, your doubts are reasonable. I'll do my best to explain nisi prius courts, courts of record, and courts of no record.

First, the mere keeping of a record does not qualify any court to be a court of record. Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, contributes to the confusion by listing only two of the four requirements for a court to qualify as a court of record. If you want the full explanation, see <https://www.1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/courtrec.htm>. In California, all courts are named as courts of record. However, if in an individual case they are not operated as courts of record, then they don't qualify as such. It takes more than a name to make a court of record. Even though a court may be keeping a record, it is a court of no record if it does not conform to the remaining three requirements for a lawful court of record.

Black's Law Dictionary's omissions are subtle. But, if you look deep enough, you can recombine the information and get to the real meaning of terms such as "nisi prius".

"Nisi prius" is a Latin term. Individually, the words mean thus:

"Prius" means "first." For example, "Prius vitiis laboravimus, nunc legibus" means "We labored first with vices, now with laws." Quoted from Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition.

"Nisi" means "unless." Quoting from B.L.D., 5th Ed.: "The word is often affixed as a kind of elliptical expression, to the words 'rule,' 'order,' 'decree,' 'judgment,' or 'confirmation,' to indicate that the adjudication spoken of is one which is to stand as valid and operative unless the party affected by it shall appear and show cause against it, or take some other appropriate step to avoid it or procure its revocation."

A rule of procedure in courts is that if a party fails to object to something, then it means he agrees to it. A nisi procedure is a procedure to which a person has failed to object (show cause) and therefore it follows that the person agrees to it. Or, conforming to the format in the preceding paragraph, a nisi procedure is a procedure to which a party agrees UNLESS he objects or shows cause.

A "nisi prius" procedure is a procedure to which a party FIRST agrees UNLESS he objects.

A "nisi prius court" is a court which will proceed unless a party objects. The agreement to proceed is obtained from the parties first.

It is a matter of right that one may demand to be tried in a court of record. By sheer definition, that means that the court must proceed according to the common law (not the statutory law). The only way that a court can suspend that right is by the prior agreement of the parties. For tactical reasons the state prefers to proceed according to statutory law rather than common law. The only way it can do that is to obtain the prior agreement from the parties. That is the primary (but hidden) purpose of the arraignment procedure. During arraignment the court offers three choices for pleading (guilty, not guilty, nolo contendere). But all three choices lead to the same jurisdiction, namely a statutory jurisdiction, not a common law jurisdiction. That is to say, the question to be decided is whether or not the statute was violated, not whether the common law was violated.

The dictionary does not lie in its definition of a nisi prius court. But it does omit some important information. Namely, that it is a court that has been set up by prior agreement assumed because when the three statutory options [guilty, not guilty, nolo contendere] were presented to the defendant he chose one. He thus failed to enforce his right to be prosecuted in a court of record.

Once the agreement (as evidenced in the arraignment proceeding) has been secured, the court proceeds under statutory authority. Now the court ceases to be a court of record and becomes a court of no record by prior lack of objection, i.e. by prior agreement implied by failure to object..

Naturally, after securing the agreement, a nisi prius court can move on to examine the facts with a judge and jury, etc. etc.

George H. Cullins wrote:

> Mr. Thornton says that the murderers have entered into a contract to go  
> outside the rules of the "codes" even though they don't know it. Since  
a  
> contract is an AGREEMENT between two or more people, how can a contract  
be  
> made without the parties knowing about it.

Bill Thornton replies:

Yes. If the party never objects, then he must have agreed. Surely you have heard of appeals that were lost because objection was not timely made. The appellate court treats unopposed actions by the trial court as if those actions were agreed to by the party who untimely objected.

George H. Cullins wrote:

> He says the Penal Codes are not the "law." My understanding is that  
the law  
> is the statutes (codes) plus the law made by appellate judges every  
time they  
> make a decision. So if the Penal Code is not the law, what is?

Bill Thornton replies:

When the word "law" is used without qualification, then it means common law. An "attorney at law" means one who practices common law (notwithstanding the fact that modern attorneys are not trained about the subject). An "attorney in equity" is one who practices before an equity court. In the U.S. 99.99999% of all proceedings are in equity, which is why the judges may take liberties.

Statutes are expressions of will from the legislature. To keep you confused, they append the word "law" to it. Naturally, you are supposed to then believe that statutory law is the same as and equal to common law (it isn't). Codes are nothing more than a collection of statutes and other rules arranged by subject instead of being arranged by date. Law beats statutes; statutes beat codes.

A judge exercises his discretion. Because he is authorised by the statutes to exercise his discretion, most appeals of judges' decisions will fail. The appellate courts generally will not second guess a trial court's use of discretion.

In a court of record, a judge has no discretion. Discretion is reserved to the independent tribunal.

<http://1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/nisiprius.htm>

## Magna Charta

How the *due process* of a *Court of Record* evolved from the Magna Charta and the Holy Bible is itself an implicit study within our Amicus curiae(s).

"Silence is eloquent speech", said Sri Ramana Maharishi, YHVH Himself, c.f. Exodus 32:34.

By the grace of YHVH, no longer shall Colour of Law fraud be perpetrated using the sleight of hand of obtaining consent by no objection. All who do so shall be slain.

## Gold Lust and Tax Fraud

Roman gold lust, the *mens rea* behind the IRS Tax Fraud, has resulted in the removal of all the world's gold from circulation, c.f. the fall of Rome at the Advent of Jesus Christ. There is no lawful money. There is no debt. All 'bankers', for example, from the 'powerful' BIS (Bank for International Settlements) and at the 'micro lending' bastards who have caused thousands of Indian farmers to kill themselves, shall be slain. This is the law spoke by YHVH Himself in Exodus 32. The precedent is available in stone and bone. Mahakali Herself is the enforcement agent. It is our wish that you inform the Delhi Babus and 'bankers' involved. Do not forget to inform the temples whose gold they are lusting after, for example, in Travancore.

## United States is dissolved

It is in this context that you must view the very top line to the 'Supreme Court of the United States', informing them of the dissolution of the United States. This fax is here:

**[www.courtofrecord.uk/dissolved](http://www.courtofrecord.uk/dissolved)**

This Amicus curiae must be read in the context of prior ones published at [www.courtofrecord.uk/US/](http://www.courtofrecord.uk/US/) and the mind-map of the USA published at [www.courtofrecord.uk/USA/](http://www.courtofrecord.uk/USA/).

Which brings us to Putin, Ukraine, Malaysia Airlines and Rudra, YHVH as Lord of Hosts, playing chess with the CIA, MI6, Jesuits, etc., all at the same time and the actual history of "Ra ra Rasputin ...".

You have heard of the *discovery* process in a court. This process, in this Court of Record of YHVH, has gone all the way to the "pea green boat" and where the "piggywig stood". Your paper reported how Ms. Jayalalitha is paying for Don Bosco rock climbing and events along Marina beach. These reports will make a little more sense now, start reading the documents in the Readme regarding Putin, Ukraine, Russia and Spain at the top of [www.courtofrecord.org.uk](http://www.courtofrecord.org.uk).

When you can follow in contemplation how men of weak minds, those who worship "Machiavelli, Freud, Darwin, Marx and Trotsky" think, you will better be able to decode and

report on contemporary history.

Old English is precise. The use of thee and thou has been forsaken, leading to a view of scripture that is incorrect. In old English, thou must have faith **on** Jesus Christ, not *in* Jesus Christ. The word *on* signifies BEING, YHVH, Brahman, the rock to stand on, even as it is said in Sanskrit prayer, *parvatha da Saraswathi* .... In the Lord's Prayer, "Thy will be done **in** earth", for they are talking about the element earth, as in earth, air, fire, water and ether, not *on* planet earth, going around the sun.

"The truth about these 9/11 theories? They were just desperately dull", says a headline by Christopher Stevens in the Daily Mail. It becomes thy job to understand how right he is and report truthfully to the world, these revelations as they come to thee. Without doubt, thou shall have to report thy own struggles to come to terms with it. Perhaps it will find it like learning to drink from a 'fire hose' rather than a feeding bottle.

We send our love to YHVH, pictured as Shiva receiving the goddess Ganga, for thee!

Yours faithfully,

Joseph Ray Sundarsson

Joseph Ray Sundarsson  
Special Master

PDF version: [fax.courtofrecord.uk/qz1A4gcP](http://fax.courtofrecord.uk/qz1A4gcP)

